Reg distorted w/kernel expansion

Frederick

{see diagram in PDF of original}

Kellett

{see diagram in PDF of original}

Eberhardt

{see diagram in PDF of original} *some tearing

Greer

{see diagram in PDF of original}

M Stafford

{see diagram in PDF of original}

Orenberg

{see diagram in PDF of original}

Reg. distorted

w/o kernel expansion:

Case

{see diagram in PDF of original}

Ellis

{see diagram in PDF of original}

Fraggos

{see diagram in PDF of original}

*some tearing

Goryl

{see diagram in PDF of original}

Kovack

{see diagram in PDF of original}

Rabe

{see diagram in PDF of original}

Reg. distorted w/o kernel expansion

Toulis {see diagram in PDF of original}

Viguers (rather irreg) {see diagram in PDF of original} *some tearing

John {see diagram in PDF of original} *some tearing

vHenneberg {see diagram in PDF of original}

Witherell {see diagram in PDF of original}

Irreg. distorted

Day

R. Stafford (but not bad) *some tearing

<u>Torn</u>

Clark

```
Those irreg. distorting or tearing all tend to peak <u>detail</u> – 3 cases.
```

```
Those with connected maps also show reg. distortion w/o kernel expansion (8 cases) 3 exceptions:

Orenberg (no test)
```

Greer (w/kernel expans)
R. Stafford (somewhat irreg.
distorted, no kernel).

```
The converse is also true (8 cases)
3 exceptions: John (fragmented map)
Kovack ( " )
Rabe ( " )
```

Those best at plots recog. have connected maps (2 cases).

Those poorest at recog. have fragmented maps (3 cases)

1 exception: Goryl has connected map. no relation in middle ground.

All those poorest at plots recognition tend to peak their map detail (is this obvious?)

All those best at photo recognition tend not to peak their detail?

3 cases agree.
3 not: Orenberg (not a test)
R. Stafford (peaking is questionable)
Henneberg (clear disagreement).

No seeming interrelation:

Kernel expansion & detail peaking Reg. distortion w/o kernel & " non " -Irreg. " or tearing & photo recog. Reg. distort. w/o kernel & photo recog. Peaking

. Orenberg Ellis

. von Henneberg Eberhardt.

M Stafford

Clark Day Greer Case

? R Staford Rabe Goryl

Non peaking

John Kellett Witherell ? Frederick

Viguers ? Toulis Kovack Fraggos

{See diagram in PDF of original, text as follows}

not green	on cluster alone 1{circled} as below 2{circled} add Eberhardt 3{circled} subtract "	Clark, Rabe Goryl, Eberhardt
15		
10		M Stafford Greer Toulis Kovak Ellis Day
5	Orenberg von Henneberg John Witherell R. Stafford Kellett.	on error alone: 1{circled} Orenberg Henneberg 2{circled} John Witherell R Stafford Kellett M Stafford
0 0	5 largest cluster	Greer Toulis Kovack Ellis Day 10 3{circled} as above

{see drawing in PFD 98 Toulis		under 50%	{circle}	2.	Reg
90	Orenberg Witherell	paths {arrow to circle}			[Kernel] Reg
87	R. Stafford		{circle}	1.	Irreg.*-
79	Day	F?		2.	Irreg.
74	John	F		1.	Reg*
68	Fraggos <u>Frederick</u>	F	{circle}		Reg* Kernel
67	Kellett	F	{circle}	1.	Kernel
65 ——	Kovack Ellis	F	{circle}		Reg Reg.
58	Henneberg			1.	Reg
55	<u>Greer</u> Goryl		{circle}		Kernel Reg
54	M Stafford	F	{circle}	2.	Kernel
45	Case				Reg
44	Viguers				Reg
29	Rabe	F		3	Reg
24	Eberhardt.	F F {arrow to F} fragmented maps	clı ar	3 uste ea	Kernel*

No correlation element quantity w/ % path elements.

No corr. element quantity w/ type of distortion.

From 2 maps

Connected

Orenberg, ✓v.Henneberg,

+ Greer ✓ Toulis ✓ Witherell, + R Stafford

✓Viguers {circled}? ✓Goryl ✓Case

√Fraggos √Ellis

Fragmented

+John Frederick

M Stafford

Clark +Rabe

+Kovack

Eberhardt

Day?{circled}

Kellett